Traffic Congestion Relief Program October 2005 Progress Report Scope, Schedule, Cashflow and Funding

Project #: 141 Date: 10/25/05

				GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
	Project work has been su Project work is complete CLOSE - OUT PROCES Close out report w Final invoice will bu Close out report w There was a project Input proportionate	using allocated a using non-TCRF using allocated a have been expensed. S (only if Project as submitted on a submitted on lill be submitted oct savings a amount of TCR	P funds only TCRP funds and ended and the pr work is complete on	non-TCRP funds roject work is on-going using non-TCRP funds
				PROJECT SCOPE
	Yes, the project has char Bridge Measure) in the B the same location as this level as the existing BAR process of preparing a ne	nged since the C Bay Area on Marc TCRP Project N T staion by raise ew project applic	CTC approved LN ch 2004 made po No. 141, UPRR P the UPRR track cation to request	the last Commission approved application/amendment. IOP for \$ 200,000 for Phase 2 on September 24,2003 (TL-03-01). The recent passage of Regional Measure 2 (Toll basishle the funding and implementation of the Dumbarton Rail Project, which proposes a new commuter rail station at edestrian Grade Separation next to the Union City BART Station. This commuter rail station would be at the same as about the same level as the BART tracks and would create a new pedestrian grade separation. The City is in the an "Alternative Project" with these TCRP funds. The Alternative Project proposed is the Union City Intermodal Station component of this larger regional project.
				PROJECT SCHEDULE
Phase 1	Scope Environmental	Start	End	
	Bloom	2000-01	2002-03	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	2002-03	2005-06	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	2004-05	2005-06	
4	Construction	2004-05	2008-09	
4	Procurement			
	If yes, explain	the reason(s) fo	or change in the b	
	No signicificant change in	n schedule and s	same as reported	I in February 2005 project report. The City still plans to request allocation by June 2006 if possible.

PROJECT CASHFLOW

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPRO'	VED TCRP ALLO		Estimated Remaining Cashflow Need																
Current Approved Allocation(s) Phase Scope by Phase			Expenditures Reimbursed as of 9/30/05		Expenditures		FY 2006-07		FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		Beyond FY 2009-10		Total		
1	Environmental					_				_		_							
		\$	120	\$	120	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	120
2	Plans,																		
	Specifications &																		
	Estimates	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
3	Right of Way																		
	Acquisition	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
4	Construction																		
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
4	Procurement																		
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		\$	120	\$	120	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	120

LAPSING ALLOCATED TCRP FUNDS IN FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

The following provides the phase, amount and month allocated funds will lapse in Fiscal Year 2005-06.

Go to the TCRP website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/ to find instructions in requesting an extension of these funds.

Current Approved Allocation(s) Month Funds by Phase Phase Scope Lapse 1 Environmental 2 Plans, Specifications & Estimates 3 Right of Way Acquisition 4 Construction Procurement

APPROVED LONP(S)								Estimated Expenditure of Local Funds												
Phase	Approved LONP Amount by hase Scope Phase		LONP mount by	Expenditures Made by Local Agency as of 9/30/05		to be Made by y Local Agency		(FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		Beyond FY 2009-10		Total		Estimated FY When LONP Reimbursement will be Requested
1	Environmental	\$	_	s	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	s	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$		
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$	200	\$	200	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	200	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$	_			\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
4	Construction	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
4	Procurement	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
		\$	200	\$	200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200	

Agency will give up the LONP because they plan	n to ask for an a	alternative proje	ect.				
FUTURE TCRP ALLOCATION(S)	Estimated						
Estimated FY							

FUTUR	<u>E TCRP ALLOCA</u>	TION(S)												
Phase	Allocation(s) Not Yet Approved by hase Scope Phase		Allocation	on		FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2009-10		Beyond FY 2009-10		Total
1	Environmental													
		\$ -		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
2	Plans,													
	Specifications &													
	Estimates	\$ -		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
3	Right of Way													
	Acquisition	\$ -		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
4	Construction													
		\$ 1,88	2006-07	\$	1,880	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,880
4	Procurement													
		\$ -		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$
		\$ 1,88)	\$	1,880	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,880

PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Туре		PI	hase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$	120	\$ 200	\$ 80	\$ 1,600	\$ 2,000
		Proposed	\$	120	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,880	\$ 2,000
RDA Funds	Local	Committed	\$	30	\$ 50	\$ 20	\$ 400	\$ 500
		Proposed	\$	30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 470	\$ 500
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Totals	Committed	\$	150	\$ 250	\$ 100	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,500
		Proposed	\$	150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,500

Does t	this information	reflect a char	ge in the	currently a	pproved p	project f	unding plar	1?

Yes

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

The Union City Intermodal Station includes many other regional transporation funds such STIP, TE, CMAQ, SAFETEA-LU, FTA GRANTS, ACTIA and RDA funds for the various phases of the project. The City request that the LONP be retracted and all the future allocated TCRP funds be expended for construction only. The City plans to submit funding package, which includes STIP, STIP-TE and CMAQ by March 2006 for CTC allocation by June 2006. We would also request that the TCRP funds be available for allocation for FY 05/06 and be part of this CTC funding package. The federal share of these funds will be transferred to an FTA grant. Attached with this October 2005 Progress Report is the TCRP Appendix 9.2-Project Application, , which identifies the funding program for the Union City Intermodal Station project submitted July 2005.